SINGLE BENCH

CF/100/L

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR

(CHHATTISGARH)

WRIT PETITION (S) NO. 4398 OF 2006

PETITIONER : Smt. Reeta Kujur,
Wife of Shri Parmeshwar Kujur, aged about 35 years, Presently working as Assistant Teacher, Middle School Bhafauli, Block Ambikapur, District Surguja (C.G.).

P.R. No. 4447/06
Presented by Shri Vipan Tiwari
Date 14/8/06

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS : 1. State of Chhattisgarh,
Through: The Secretary, Department of School Education D.K.S. Bhawan Raipur (C.G.).

Ring
14/08/06
RECEIVED-COPY
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

2. The Secretary,
Department of Tribal Welfare, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.).

OATH COMMISSIONER
APARNA MALVIYA
CG
Bilaspur Chhattisgarh

3. The Commissioner,
Tribal Development, Raipur (C.G.).

4. The Collector,
Ambikapur, District Surguja (C.G.).

5. The Assistant Commissioner,
Tribal Development, Ambikapur, District Surguja (C.G.).

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P.(S)4398 **सन्** 2006 (46)

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

21-8-2006

Mrs. Mala Dubey, counsel for the petitioner.

Mr. Sumesh Bajaj, Government Advocate for the State/ respondents, on advance copy.

Heard both the counsel.

By the instant writ petition, the petitioner has challenged her transfer order dated 30th June, 2006 (Annexure P-1) passed by respondent No.5, whereby she has been transferred under rationalization scheme from Middle School, Bhafauli to Middle School, Kumdabasti.

Clause 7 of the rationalization scheme provides for appeal, if any person is aggrieved by the order.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already moved an appeal against the order impugned to respondent No.3, but respondent No.3 is not deciding the appeal. She further submits that the petitioner has been transferred contrary to the scheme. In the circumstances, learned counsel submits that respondent No.3 be directed to decide the appeal of the petitioner at the earliest, to which learned counsel appearing for the State/respondents has no objection.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances, respondent No.3 is directed to decide the appeal filed by the petitioner within two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of.

Consequently, M.(W.)P.No.3415/2006 also stands disposed of.

Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order.

Sd/-
L.C.Bhadoo
Judge

Soma

26-8-06